Phosphoinositide-Specific Phospholipase C δ1 Activity toward Micellar Substrates, Inositol 1,2-Cyclic Phosphate, and Other Water-Soluble Substrates: A Sequential Mechanism and Allosteric Activation†
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ABSTRACT: The kinetics of full-length and PH domain truncated cloned PI-PLC δ1 from rat toward soluble substrates [inositol 1,2-(cyclic)-phosphate (cIP) and glycerophosphoinositol phosphates (GPIP.)] as well as PI in detergent micelles provide the following insights into the mechanism of this enzyme. (i) That cIP is a substrate for the enzyme implies a two-step mechanism for PI hydrolysis [intramolecular phosphotransferase reaction to form cIP followed by cyclic phosphodiesterase activity to form inositol-1-phosphate (I-1-P)]. The dependence of enzyme activity on cIP is sigmoidal, suggesting a transition between less active and more active forms of the enzyme that is affected by substrate. (ii) Interfaces increase the kcat for cIP (but do not affect the cooperativity), and this allosteric activation requires an intact PH domain. (iii) Phosphorylation of the soluble inositol phosphodiesterases GPI, GPIP, and GPIP2 enhances PI-PLC δ1 activity by dramatically increasing kcat and decreasing Km. For these phosphodiesterases, the substrate saturation curve is no longer sigmoidal but hyperbolic, indicating the phosphorylated substrate can shift the enzyme to the activated form. (iv) Given the kinetic parameters for cIP hydrolysis and the constant ratio of cIP/I-1-P generated during PI hydrolysis, the cIP produced in situ is either released (and not readily rebound since its concentration is well below Km) or attacked by a water molecule for the generation of the acyclic product.

Phosphoinositide-specific phospholipase C (EC 3.1.4.11) enzymes play a central role in many signal transduction cascades (Lee & Rhee, 1995; Rhee et al., 1989; Rhee & Choi, 1992). Mammalian PI-PLC1 hydrolysis of phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2) generates two second messengers: water-soluble d-myo-inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP3), which elevates the intracellular calcium level, and membrane-associated diacylglycerol (DAG), which activates many protein kinase C isozymes. Three classes of mammalian PI-PLCs with 10 different isozymes have been characterized (Ryu et al., 1987), though to different extents. Bacterial PI-PLC, with little homology to the catalytic portion of the mammalian sequences, exhibits a phosphotransferase activity that is responsible for the formation of 1,2-cyclic inositol phosphate derivatives and a cyclic phosphodiesterase activity that leads to acyclic inositol phosphates (Volwerk et al., 1990; Bruzik & Tsai, 1994). A comparison of the structure of the bacterial enzyme (Heinz et al., 1995) to PI-PLC δ1 from rat (Essen et al., 1996) shows them both to have imperfect β-barrels with similar placement of catalytic residues. Interestingly, whereas cIP is the initial (and under most circumstances the only) product detected for PI hydrolysis by the bacterial enzymes (Volwerk et al., 1990; Bruzik et al., 1992), mammalian PI-PLCs generate both cyclic and acyclic inositol phosphates simultaneously. The ratio of cyclic to acyclic products, which appears constant during the reaction time course, depends on the isozyme class (β > δ > γ), substrate (PI > PIP > PIP2), pH, and calcium concentration (Kim et al., 1989). Early interpretations explained this kinetic behavior as a competitive attack of an activated water molecule instead of the inositol 2-hydroxyl group on the bound phosphodiester linkage (Dawson et al., 1971; Kim et al., 1989) to produce both products in parallel. However, this parallel mechanism where cIP is not an intermediate but a product contradicts the observed retention of the configuration at the 1-phosphorus on formation of acyclic inositol phosphates by PI-PLC β1 (Bruzik et al., 1992). Furthermore, substrate analogs lacking the 2-hydroxyl group were shown not to be hydrolyzable by several PI-PLC enzymes (Seitz et al., 1992). Clearly, a critical experiment in understanding the detailed mechanism of mammalian PI-PLC enzymes is to determine the kinetic parameters for cIP hydrolysis.
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The activity of bacterial PI-PLC toward cIP is modulated by several factors (Zhou et al., 1997; Wu & Roberts, 1997) that could also explain the difference in mammalian versus bacterial enzyme hydrolysis of PI and cyclic versus acyclic product generation. Bacterial PI-PLC hydrolysis of cIP can be dramatically activated allosterically by the presence of an interface of PC or PE (Zhou et al., 1997). Water-miscible organic solvents such as isopropyl alcohol, dimethylformamide, or dimethyl sulfoxide also activate PI-PLC hydrolysis of cIP by mimicking the polarity of the interface and thereby stabilizing the active form of the enzyme (Wu & Roberts, 1997).

Here we report a kinetic analysis of PI-PLC δ1 hydrolysis of cIP and other water-soluble substrates (glycerophosphoinositol phosphates). Determining the kinetic parameters for cIP hydrolysis, and exploring how soluble substrate phosphorylation affects these parameters, allows one to better define the mechanism for PI hydrolysis as well. Modulations of water activity were also examined for their effects on hydrolysis of cIP. All of our kinetic observations strongly support a sequential mechanism for PI hydrolysis with the rate of release of cIP from the enzyme comparable to the rate of an activated water attacking the enzyme-bound cIP to form I-1-P. Comparison of activities of the full-length enzyme versus a mutant, Δ(1–132)PI-PLC δ1, with the PH domain removed also implies that the PH domain participates not only in binding to interfaces but also in allosterically enhancing enzyme activity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals, Enzyme. DiC7PC was obtained from Avanti and used without further purification. iPrOH, DMSO, and other organic solvents were purchased from Aldrich. Triton X-100, crude PI, PIP, GPI, GPIP, GPIP2, and IP3 were all purchased from Sigma. Crude soybean PI (50% PI, purchased from Sigma) was used for the enzymatic generation of d-myoinositol 1,2-cyclic phosphate (cIP) as described previously (Zhou et al., 1997). About 110 mg of pure cIP was obtained from 1.0 g of crude PI. Purification of PI-PLC δ1 and Δ(1–132) PI-PLC δ1, a catalytically active deletion variant lacking the N-terminal PH domain, was as described previously (Essen et al., 1997).

Preparation of cIP Assay Solutions. The buffer used in all cIP assays was 50 mM Hepes, pH 7.5. A stock solution of cIP (600 mM) was prepared by dissolving cIP in D2O and adjusting the pH to 7.5 using NaOD. The range of cIP concentrations examined was 5–80 mM. A 100 mM diC7PC stock solution was prepared in D2O; the pH was adjusted to 7.5. In the cIP assays, 8 mM diC7PC (predominantly micelles given the CMC of 1.5 mM) and different amounts of DMSO were used to study the interfacial activation and organic solvent activation of the cyclic phosphodiesterase reaction. Stock solutions of GPIP2, GPIP, GPI, and IP3 were also prepared in D2O with the pH adjusted to 7.5. The calcium concentration was fixed to 0.5 mM unless otherwise indicated. The total volume of each assay solution was fixed to 350 µL.

Preparation of PI Assay Solutions. PI and PIP solubilized in mixed micelles with Triton X-100 were also examined as substrates for PI-PLC δ1. Triton X-100 was used as the matrix to solubilize PI since it has been shown that (i) the extremely fast micelle exchange kinetics of Triton X-100 ensure that substrate depletion is not a problem (Soltys & Roberts, 1994), (ii) Triton X-100 is relatively noninteractive with Ca2+, and (iii) Triton X-100 micelles did not affect PI-PLC δ1 hydrolysis of cIP (vide infra); hence, this interfacial matrix was relatively inert in its interactions with PI-PLC δ1. The buffer used in the PI assays was 50 mM Hepes, pH 7.5. A stock solution of PI (40 mM) was prepared by dissolving PI in D2O containing 80 mM Triton X-100 and incubating the sample in a bath sonicator for a few minutes. The ratio of PIP/Triton X-100 was maintained at 1:2 (the minimum ratio of detergent to PI needed to solubilize all the phospholipid). The pH of the stock solution was adjusted to 7.5 using NaOD; the stock solution was optically clear. The PI concentration used in the assays was 8 mM unless otherwise indicated; sample volumes were 350 µL. After optimization, the calcium concentration was fixed at 0.5 mM.

31 P NMR Assays of PI-PLC δ1 Activity. 31 P NMR parameters were based on those used previously (Volwerk et al., 1990; Zhou et al., 1997). 31 P NMR (202.3 MHz) spectra were acquired using a Varian Unity 500 spectrometer with samples in 5 mm tubes, and 5% phosphoric acid was used as an external reference. For all kinetic runs, a control spectrum (t = 0 min) was performed prior to the addition of enzyme. The amount of enzyme added to initiate hydrolysis of water-soluble substrates varied between 18 and 160 µg, depending on the substrates used and assay conditions. For PI and PIP hydrolysis, 6 µg and 50 ng of enzyme were used. After the addition of the appropriate amount of PI-PLC, an arrayed experiment was initiated, and the hydrolysis rates were measured from the integrated intensity of the resonance corresponding to the phosphorylated product as a function of incubation time, typically 2–3 h, at 30 °C unless otherwise indicated. At all temperatures except 55 °C, the reaction time course was linear throughout most of the NMR experiment.

Determination of the Energetic Parameters of PI-PLC Kinetics. The temperature dependence of kcat was analyzed according to transition state theory (Eyring, 1935; Fersht, 1985) which relates the rate constant of a reaction to an equilibrium constant between the reactants and the transition state, a transient high-energy species that decays to form product. The activation free energy, ΔG*, was calculated by ΔG* = -RT ln (kcat/kcatT), where h, kT, and R are Planck’s, Boltzmann’s, and the gas constant, respectively, and kcat (s-1) is the experimentally determined turnover number. The transmission coefficient (Eyring, 1935) is assumed to be unity (Fersht, 1985) and can be ignored. The activation enthalpy, ΔH*, was calculated from the slope of plotting ln (kcat/T) versus 1/T, based on the Eyring equation: ln (kcat/T) = ln (ka/kb) + ΔH*/RT - ΔS*/R. The activation entropy, ΔS*, was estimated from ΔS* = (ΔH* - ΔG*)/T.

RESULTS

PI-PLC δ1 Activity toward cIP. If a sequential mechanism of PI hydrolysis for mammalian PI-PLCs is operational, cIP must be a substrate for the enzyme. Thus, we have tested the ability of PI-PLC δ1 from rat to hydrolyze cIP. All mammalian PI-PLCs are reported to be calcium-dependent. The dependence of enzyme cyclophosphodiesterase activity on Ca2+ was examined using a deletion variant of PI-PLC δ1 without its PH domain, Δ(1–132) PI-PLC δ1 (Figure 1). Calcium was absolutely required for cIP hydrolysis; the
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increased in a hyperbolic fashion with added Ca 2+ . The apparent $K_0$ for metal ion binding at this cIP concentration was 50 µM, a value comparable to what has been determined for PI hydrolysis. Ca 2+ interacts with the negatively charged PI and cIP species as well as the PI-PLC, so a true $K_0$ and the number of metal ion binding sites cannot be easily extracted from the data. Instead, this Ca 2+ dependence was used to optimize the assay conditions: 0.5 mM Ca 2+ was used for all other experiments.

The dependence of enzyme activity on cIP concentration is shown in Figure 2. The curves for cIP hydrolysis in the absence of an interface (indicated by the triangles in both plots) are sigmoidal rather than hyperbolic. The half-saturation concentrations, $[S]_{0.5}$, of cIP are 25 and 28 mM for the Δ(1–132) PI-PLC δ 1 and full-length enzyme. These values are relatively high. The Hill equation was used to analyze the cooperativity in cIP kinetics. The value for $n$ was 1.7 ± 0.2 and 1.5 ± 0.1 for Δ(1–132) PI-PLC δ 1 and the full-length PI-PLC, respectively. The $V_{max}$ values were also similar (∼26 mM). The high values for $[S]_{0.5}$ are unusual in light of the observation that PI hydrolysis yields both cIP and 1-1-P products (Kim et al., 1989).

Glycerophosphoinositol Phosphates Are Substrates of PI-PLC δ 1. PI-PLC δ 1 prefers phosphorylated PI molecules as substrates (Ryu et al., 1987; Ellis et al., 1993). This may also be the case for water-soluble substrates. While efficient preparation of cyclic-IP, is difficult, glycerophosphoinositol phosphates can be used as potential substrates for the enzyme to screen for the effect of adding phosphates to the inositol ring without the complication of different aggregate states of the substrate. They contain the same head group and glycerol backbone as PI.P, without the hydrophobic fatty acyl chains, and they have no tendency to aggregate. Parameters for Δ(1–132) PI-PLC δ 1 hydrolysis of GPIP 1 are summarized in Table 2. A notable comparison is the activity of PI-PLC toward cIP and GPI. The enzyme had a much higher activity toward cIP than toward GPI, probably because the strain energy of cIP (due to the formation of five-member ring) can be released during the hydrolysis of cIP to generate I-1-P (Bruzik et al., 1996). However, adding phosphate to

the inositol ring of GPI generated a substrate that was more efficiently hydrolyzed than cIP. The dependence of GPIP and GPIP 2 hydrolysis by Δ(1–132) PI-PLC δ 1 on substrate concentration was hyperbolic, in contrast to the sigmoidal saturation curves for cIP. The additional phosphates on the inositol ring also made major changes in kinetic parameters. GPIP was a much better substrate (at least 100-fold) than GPI, indicating that the 4′-phosphate of the inositol ring plays an important role in substrate binding and catalysis by PI-PLC δ 1. In comparison with GPIP, GPIP 2 showed a 4-fold increase in $V_{max}$ with little change of $K_m$, indicating that the 5′-phosphate is important for catalysis, but not important for substrate binding. Unlike PI, PIP, and PIP 2 hydrolysis by PI-PLC δ 1, which yields both cyclic and acyclic phosphate products (Kim et al., 1989), the hydrolysis of GPIP, GPIP, and GPIP 2 by PI-PLC δ 1 only produced the acyclic inositol phosphates.

Effect of DiC 7 PC Micelles on PI-PLC Hydrolysis of cIP. PI-PLC δ 1 as well as the bacterial enzyme were shown to prefer aggregated (micellar) short-chain PI over monomeric PI as substrate (Rebecchi et al., 1993; Lewis et al., 1993). This type of ‘interfacial activation’ is a characteristic of water-soluble phospholipases hydrolyzing lipophilic substrates. However, another form of interfacial activation was recently reported for the bacterial PI-PLC. PI-PLC from B. thuringiensis was activated 21-fold toward cIP (as measured

![Figure 1: Effect of Ca 2+ concentration on Δ(1–132) PI-PLC δ 1 cyclic phosphodiesterase activity toward cIP. The curve indicates an apparent $K_0$ of 0.05 ± 0.02 mM. Assay conditions include 50 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 10 mM cIP, 30 °C, and 60 µg of enzyme.](image)

![Figure 2: Specific activity versus cIP concentration for (A) Δ-(1–132) PI-PLC δ 1 and (B) full-length PI-PLC δ 1 in the absence (∆) and in the presence (Ω) of 8 mM diC 7 PC. Assay conditions include 50 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 0.5 mM CaCl 2 , 30 °C, and 60 µg of enzyme. The curves were drawn by fitting the data with the Hill equation, $V = V_{max}[S]^n/(K_m^n + [S]^n)$, and the parameters are summarized in Table 1.](image)
Most effective of the solvents examined; it lowered the $K_{m}$ (Table 1). If the same data in DMSO were fit with a simple Michaelis–Menten equation, $K_m$ would be 167 ± 160 mM.

Table 1: Kinetic Parameters for Hydrolysis of cIP by $\Delta(1-132)$ PI-PLC δ1 and Full-Length PI-PLC δ1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>assay conditions</th>
<th>$V_{\text{max}}$ (µmol min$^{-1}$ mg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$K_m$ (mM) (Hill coefficient)$^a$</th>
<th>$V_{\text{max}}$ (µmol min$^{-1}$ mg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$K_m$ (mM) (Hill coefficient)$^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cIP alone$^b$</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.03</td>
<td>25.2 ± 2.9 (1.7 ± 0.2)</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.03</td>
<td>28.0 ± 3.0 (1.5 ± 0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+diC7:PC (8 mM)</td>
<td>no significant activation observed</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.06</td>
<td>24.7 ± 2.9 (1.7 ± 0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+45% DMSO</td>
<td>activation curve similar to full-length enzyme</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.1 ± 14.2 (1.7 ± 0.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Assay conditions include 50 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 0.5 mM CaCl$_2$, 30 °C; 60 µg of enzyme was added to a total volume of 350 µL of cIP solution to initiate the reaction. $^b$ Hill coefficient calculated from the Hill equation, $V = V_{\text{max}}[S]^{n}/[K_m^n + [S]^n]$.

Table 2: Kinetic Parameters for Hydrolysis of Water-Soluble Phosphodiester by $\Delta(1-132)$ PI-PLC δ1 Hydrolysis$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>substrate</th>
<th>$V_{\text{max}}$ (µmol min$^{-1}$ mg$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$K_m$ (mM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GPIP$_2$</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPIP</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPI</td>
<td>0.001$^b$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cIP</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.03</td>
<td>25.2 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Assay conditions include 50 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 0.5 mM CaCl$_2$, 30 °C; 18, 60, and 120 µg of $\Delta(1-132)$ PI-PLC δ1 were used for substrates GPIP$_2$, GPIP, and GPI, respectively. $^b$ This is the specific activity for 2 mM GPIP. This is the $K_m$ value determined from fitting the data with the Hill equation.

The DMSO-induced activation of cIP hydrolysis by PI-PLC δ1 was characterized by a 4–5-fold increase in $V_{\text{max}}$ (similar to bacterial PI-PLC) with little change in the $[S]_{0.5}$ or the $K_m$ determined by the fit with the Hill equation (Figure 4, Table 1). If the same data in DMSO were fit with a simple Michaelis–Menten equation, $K_m$ would be 167 ± 160 mM.

**Figure 3**: Specific activity versus DMSO volume percentage (A) and mole fraction (B) for $\Delta(1-132)$ PI-PLC δ1 (Δ) and full-length PI-PLC δ1 (○). Assay conditions include 50 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 10 mM cIP, 0.5 mM CaCl$_2$, 30 °C, and 60 µg of enzyme.

With a greater than 80% error in $V_{\text{max}}$, the considerably better fit with a cooperative model ($K_m$ 30 ± 14 mM and 30% error in $V_{\text{max}}$) suggests that solvent activation does not reduce the cooperativity seen for cIP hydrolysis in the absence of additives. The lack of effect on $K_m$ is in striking contrast to the bacterial PI-PLC, where $K_m$ decreased dramatically in the presence of the organic solvent DMSO. Similarly to the bacterial counterpart, the mammalian PI-PLC δ1 activity was sigmoidal as a function of DMSO mole fraction, indicating a cooperative process associated with the addition of DMSO.

Besides a possible conformational change associated with the addition of organic solvents which could account for this sigmoidal dependence of activity versus organic solvent mole fraction, another possible explanation for this behavior is the organic solvent dehybridization of the PI-PLC active site (Wu & Roberts, 1997). Because the active site of PI-PLC δ1 is broad and solvent-
accessible (Essen et al., 1996, 1997), removal of some water may be necessary for productive binding of soluble substrates to PI-PLC. Since many water molecules are involved, the expulsion of active site water could have a cooperative profile and thus give rise to the sigmoidal activity versus organic solvent concentration (Wu & Roberts, 1997).

**PIP/ Triton X-100 Mixed Micelles as Substrates for PI-PLC δ1.** The high [S]_{0.5} (or apparent $K_m$) for cIP is puzzling in view of the observation that PI hydrolysis by PI-PLC δ1 yields both cIP and I-1-P. With a $K_m$ of ~25 mM, cIP would be expected as the only product of a simple sequential mechanism for PI hydrolysis under the assay conditions used. The wide range of optimal Ca²⁺ concentrations reported to be needed for PI cleavage (submicromolar to millimolar) presumably reflects differences in the interfaces used in the various assay systems. Amphiphilic PIP₆ substrates solubilized in Triton X-100 micelles were used as substrates; mixed micelles of that detergent with phospholipids have been used for kinetic analyses of other phospholipases (Carman et al., 1995). Critical behavior for use of Triton mixed micelles with this PI-PLC is that the Triton X-100 has minimal affinity for Ca²⁺, rapid micelle exchange kinetics, and does not activate PI-PLC δ1 toward cIP. The enzyme without the PH domain was used to remove activity differences related to allosteric surface binding. No product was detected if Ca²⁺ was omitted from reaction mixtures containing PI solubilized in Triton X-100 mixed micelles and PI-PLC δ1. Hydrolysis of PI catalyzed by PI-PLC had a dependence on Ca²⁺ concentration that was similar to cIP hydrolysis (Figure 5). A Ca²⁺ concentration of 0.5 mM was chosen for all assays of PIP₆ hydrolysis, as it is sufficiently above the threshold needed for maximum activation of PI-PLC δ1.

Both the truncated and full-length PI-PLC δ1 enzymes utilized PI in Triton X-100 micelles as a substrate (Table 3). For 8 mM PI solubilized in 1:2 PI/Triton X-100 mixed micelles, the rates of PI hydrolysis at 30 °C were 16 and 36 μmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ for the truncated and full-length enzymes, respectively. This represented production of both cIP and I-1-P. The ratio of cIP/I-1-P appeared to be constant throughout the reaction. The rates of PI hydrolysis were considerably higher than those for cIP hydrolysis by the same enzymes (which were maximally ~1 μmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹). However, these rates were much lower than that for bacterial PI-PLC hydrolysis of PI in TX-100, which has a $V_{\text{max}}$ of 1000 μmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ with an apparent $K_m$ of 1–2 mM (Zhou et al., 1997). Interestingly, the full-length PI-PLC δ1 was about 2-fold more active than the truncated one for PI hydrolysis. This enhancement was comparable to that observed for cIP hydrolysis by the full-length enzyme in the presence of diC₃PC.

Addition of phosphate groups to PI made a much more effective substrate for the enzyme. The truncated enzyme hydrolyzed PIP almost 100 times faster than PI (Table 3), emphasizing that the 4'-phosphate group plays a key role in binding and catalysis. This kinetic activation toward phosphorylated lipophilic substrates is consistent with the observation that enzyme activity was considerably higher toward phosphorylated water-soluble substrates (GPIP or GPIP₂ versus GPI or cIP). A kinetic role for the 4'-phosphate was also suggested from the X-ray crystal structure of PI-PLC δ1 (Essen et al., 1996, 1997). Unlike PI, which when hydrolyzed by PI-PLC is converted to comparable amounts of both cyclic and acyclic inositol phosphate products, PIP hydrolysis by PI-PLC δ1 produced very little cyclic inositol phosphate (cIP₂) and mostly IP₂ as the product.

**Effect of Solvent Concentration on Kinetic Activity.** The presence of diC₃PC in the assay buffer (Wu & Roberts, 1997) was shown to be necessary for productive binding of soluble substrates to PI-PLC. Since many water molecules are involved, the expulsion of active site water could have a cooperative profile and thus give rise to the sigmoidal activity versus organic solvent concentration. The ratio of cIP/I-1-P might help explain why I-1-P is produced at all, given the high $K_m$ for cIP. The generation of I-1-P from cIP involves attack of a water molecule. Thus, a decrease in water activity ($a_w$) should increase production of cIP. Two

---

**Figure 4:** Specific activity versus cIP concentration for full-length PI-PLC δ1 in the presence of 45% DMSO. Assay conditions are the same as in Figure 2. The curve was drawn by fitting the data with the Hill equation, $V = V_{\text{max}}[S]^{[n]}(K_m^n + [S]^n)$, and the parameters are summarized in Table 1.

**Figure 5:** Ca²⁺ dependence of $\Delta(1-132)$ PI-PLC δ1 catalyzed hydrolysis of PI to generate cIP (△) and I-1-P (○). The curve indicates an apparent $K_m$ of 0.008 ± 0.003 mM. Assay conditions included 8 mM PI dispersed in 16 mM TX-100, 50 mM Hepes buffer, pH 7.5, 30 °C, and 6 μg of PI-PLC. The activity was stable at Ca²⁺ concentrations from 0.2 to 30 mM, although the mixture became cloudy above 4 mM Ca²⁺.

---

**Table 3:** Specific Activity for PI-PLC δ1 Catalyzed Hydrolysis of PI and PIP in TX-100 Micelles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>substrate</th>
<th>$\Delta(1-132)$ PI-PLC δ1</th>
<th>full-length PI-PLC δ1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PI/TX-100</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIP/TX-100</td>
<td>2 mM PIP</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 mM PIP</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Assay conditions included 50 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 0.5 mM CaCl₂, 30 °C. The ratio of PI or PIP/TX-100 was fixed to 1:2.
types of solvent perturbations were used to reduce $a_w$: addition of (i) NaCl or (ii) water-soluble organic solvents. The effect of moderately high concentrations of NaCl on $\Delta(1-132)$ PI-PLC $\delta 1$ activity toward PI is shown in Figure 6. The overall rate of PI cleavage (I-1-P and cIP production) was almost unaffected from 0 to 800 mM NaCl. However, NaCl slightly increased the rate of cIP production and decreased the rate of I-1-P generation, presumably due to the decreased water activity.

Organic solvents can regulate enzyme activity by changing enzyme conformation (Wu & Roberts, 1997), micelle structure, or water activity (Suzuki & Kanazawa, 1996; Colombo & Bonilla-Rodriguez, 1996). A 2–3-fold activation was observed for the total hydrolysis rate of PI by PI-PLC $\delta 1$ in the presence of 45% DMSO. This amount of solvent did not abolish the PI/TX-100 mixed micelles as monitored by the $^{31}$P line width of PI (little change in the PI line width in the presence of these amounts of DMSO). Very interestingly, the presence of 45% DMSO or 25% isopropyl alcohol decreased the cIP/I-1-P ratio significantly (Table 4). NaCl and organic solvents have the opposite effect on the ratio of cIP/I-1-P. The increase in the rate of I-1-P formation caused by organic solvent is not due to the rebinding and hydrolysis of free cIP, because in the assay conditions used the concentration of cIP was well below its $K_m$.

**Effect of Temperature on the Ratio of cIP to I-1-P.** One possible explanation for I-1-P production upon PI hydrolysis by PI-PLC $\delta 1$ is that cIP generated $\textit{in situ}$ has a slow off rate compared to its attack by a water molecule. Varying the assay temperature might have a substantial effect on such a rate. If the assay solution bulk dielectric constant and the ionic strength remain unchanged, enzyme kinetics usually follow Arrhenius’ law (Douzou, 1971). Several enzymes have recently been analyzed by Arrhenius’ law (Craig et al., 1996) and transition state theory (Mondal & Mitra, 1994; Arnold & Ulbrich-Hofmann, 1997). Both full-length and PH domain truncated PI-PLC $\delta 1$ were completely inactivated if the assay temperature was above 60 °C; hence, initial rates of activity toward PI were determined from 24 to 55 °C for $\Delta(1-132)$ PI-PLC $\delta 1$ and at 24–45 °C for full-length PI-PLC $\delta 1$ (Figure 7A,B). The temperature profile showed very similar effects on the ratio of cIP/I-1-P for both enzymes.
The apparent activation energy for PI hydrolysis, cIP production, and the generation of I-1-P were determined by the slope of the plot to be 19.6, 34.0, and 0.0 kJ/mol, respectively, for the truncated enzyme, and 14.6, 28.5, and 0.0 kJ/mol, respectively, for the full-length clone.

(Figure 7C). Notable is the nearly temperature-independent generation of I-1-P while cIP production increased with increasing temperature. A plot of log (k/T), where k is the turnover number, versus 1/T for PI hydrolysis, cIP production, and the generation of I-1-P by the truncated and the full-length enzymes is shown in Figure 8.

An enzyme reaction is much more complicated than a simple elementary reaction where transition state theory holds. PI-PLC δ1 hydrolysis of PI is even more complicated because it involves many steps and two different products. The determination of a real ΔH°, ΔG°, and ΔS° from the steady-state kinetics seems intractable. However, the linear relationship between log (k/T) and 1/T as shown in the Eyring plot (Figure 8) should allow us to determine an apparent ΔH°, ΔG°, and ΔS°. These values could shed some light on the PI-PLC δ1 mechanism. From the Eyring plots, the apparent activation enthalpies, ΔH°, for PI hydrolysis, cIP production, and the generation of I-1-P were determined to be 19.6, 34.0, and 0.0 kJ/mol, respectively, for the truncated enzyme, and 14.6, 28.5, and 0.0 kJ/mol, respectively, for the full-length clone. The experimentally determined apparent ΔH°, ΔG°, and ΔS° values are summarized in Table 5 for the truncated enzyme and in Table 6 for the full-length enzyme. Notable is the high apparent ΔG° (in the range of 63–74 kJ/mol), which accounts for the relatively low activity of PI-PLC δ1 toward PI; this ΔG° is dominated by the negative apparent activation entropy ΔS°. The apparent activation entropy, ΔS°, values for PI hydrolysis, release of cIP, and the generation of I-1-P were similar for both full-length and truncated enzymes and estimated as −160, −120, and −220 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹. The higher activation entropy for I-1-P production versus cIP release presumably reflects the energetic cost for ordering a water molecule to attack the bound cIP.

**DISCUSSION**

**cIP Kinetics: How Can a Monomeric Enzyme Display Cooperative Kinetics?** The observation that cIP is a substrate, although not a very good one, for PI-PLC δ1 is consistent with a sequential mechanism for PI hydrolysis. cIP hydrolysis is characterized by a high apparent Kₘ, low Vₘₐₓ, and notable cooperativity in cIP saturation curve. A comparison of the Δ(1–132) PI-PLC δ1 phosphotransferase activity for PI (16 µmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹) to the cyclic phosphodiesterase activity toward cIP (0.39 µmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹) indicates a significant enhancement in catalytic activity for PI cleavage. The enhancement becomes even more pronounced if one includes the difference in substrate Kₘ values. The Kₘ for the cIP hydrolysis reaction (25 mM) is considerably larger than the estimates for PI cleavage [the range for an apparent Kₘ is 20 µM to 1 mM for the bacterial PI-PLC (Zhou et al., 1997)]. This strongly suggests that the lipophilic portion of the substrate greatly enhances the catalysis. A clue to what is occurring may be provided by the observation that cIP hydrolysis displays cooperative saturation behavior. Since the conformation of the water-soluble substrate does

| Table 5: Kinetic Parameters for Full-Length PI-PLC δ1 Hydrolysis of Micellar PI |
|---|---|---|---|
| Temperature (°C) | ΔG° (kJ mol⁻¹) | ΔS° (J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹) | ΔH° (kJ mol⁻¹) |
| 24 | 62.9 | 65.8 | 64.6 |
| 30 | 64.4 | 66.5 | 65.8 |
| 37 | 65.6 | 67.3 | 67.6 |
| 45 | 66.9 | 68.4 | 69.2 |

*ΔH° was determined from the slope of Figure 9 as 14.6, 28.5, and 0.0 kJ mol⁻¹ for the hydrolysis of PI, the generation of cIP, and I-1-P production, respectively.*
not change with increasing concentration in solution, the sigmoidal kinetics of cIP hydrolysis must be related to an effect of cIP on the enzyme that partially switches it from a less active to a more active form. The cooperativity in cIP kinetics could be explained by multiple binding sites for cIP (one at the active site, another at a site where its binding enhances catalysis), enzyme dimerization, or other conformational changes that perturb the active site including active site hydration (Wu & Roberts, 1997). The X-ray crystal structure suggested that PI-PLC δ1 is a monomeric enzyme with one active site (Essen et al., 1996). Gel filtration failed to detect any significant dimerization in the absence of substrate (R. L. Williams, unpublished results). Therefore, it is very unlikely that the cooperativity observed for both the full-length and the PH domain truncated enzyme hydrolysis of cIP is generated by site-site interactions, especially when taking into account that the cooperativity is persistent and independent of assay conditions (i.e., addition of diC1:PC micelles or organic solvents). If an enzyme dimer, induced by substrate, is the active form of the enzyme, then it must be transient for soluble substrates. The possibility of a second cIP site is intriguing since the cooperativity of the enzyme is abolished when phosphorylated GPiP2 is the substrate. Clearly, the enzyme has a site with a strong affinity for phosphates. It is possible that as the cIP concentration is increased, the cIP phosphate moiety binds to the enzyme side chains that normally interact with the 4'-phosphate in such a fashion as to alter the conformation of the cIP already bound in the active site.

Other types of enzyme conformational changes might be involved in the transition from less active to more active forms. Recent NMR studies on PLA2 have shown small conformational changes that primarily involve decreased flexibility in the active site when the enzyme is bound to an interface as well as a substrate analog. Only in the presence of an interface and a substrate analog is the conformational change accomplished (Petters et al., 1992; Van den Berg et al., 1995). A similar change may be happening for PI-PLC δ1. A comparison between the structures of the active sites of free and substrate analogue-complexed PI-PLC δ1 suggests that there are very few differences in the active site brought about by substrate binding. Only Glu 341 and Arg 549 move significantly upon binding substrate. The side chains of these residues move so that the Arg 549 makes closer interaction with the 4-phosphoryl group of the bound substrate. A possible alternate candidate for the conformational switch might be the X/Y linker sequence (residues 443–488) that is disordered in the crystal structure. It may be that this sequence is able to influence substrate entrance or product exit from the active site. The much larger X/Y linker of PLC-γ has been shown to act as an inhibitor of the enzyme (Horstman et al., 1996).

Interfacial Activation and Organic Solvent Activation. Interfacial activation, the enhancement of $k_{cat}$ by enzyme binding to an interface, is a common feature for phospholipases. Mechanisms for this activation include substrate-based (such as changes in the conformation or surface concentration of substrates) and enzyme-based (changes in the conformation or enzyme dimerization or allosteric binding etc.) effects. These effects are difficult to separate with an aggregated substrate. Using a water-soluble substrate such as cIP that has no tendency to aggregate has proved to be useful in understanding the interfacial activation mechanism for bacterial PI-PLC (Zhou et al., 1997). In that case, interfaces are allosteric activators of the enzyme. Water-miscible organic solvents such as isopropyl alcohol, DMF, and DMSO can also activate bacterial PI-PLC hydrolysis of cIP by mimicking the polarity of the interface (Wu & Roberts, 1997). Both of these effects are types of interfacial activation different from what is usually associated with phospholipases. Similar activation phenomena occur with rat PI-PLC δ1. DMSO causes a 4-fold increase in $V_{max}$ for both PI-PLC δ1 and the bacterial enzyme, suggesting that the effect of solvent on the catalytic step is similar for both of these enzymes. This has been postulated to involve partially dehydrating substrate and active site in the case of the bacterial PI-PLC (Wu & Roberts, 1997). Anchoring the enzyme to a phospholipid interface may likewise affect the hydration environment of the active site since this is not a buried active site but one relatively well exposed to solvent (Essen et al., 1996, 1997). The interfacial activation induced by PC had a much more pronounced effect on the bacterial enzyme, increasing $V_{max} \sim 7$-fold, decreasing $K_{cat} \sim 3$-fold, and abolishing the cooperativity seen in the cIP saturation curve. For PI-PLC δ1, PC only increased $V_{max}$ with no effect on substrate binding. Furthermore, the enhancement was only observed if the PH domain was intact. In both these enzymes, a phospholipid interface clearly has an allosteric role in enzyme activity. A likely explanation is that in aqueous solution there is an equilibrium between less active and more active forms of the enzyme. Both PC interfaces (by interacting with the PH domain) and organic solvent effectors modulate PI-PLC δ1 by changing the equilibrium between these two forms of the enzyme.

The PH Domain of PI-PLC δ1 Has an Allosteric Role. Mammalian PI-PLC contains two domains, PH and C2, that are thought to be important for membrane binding in many proteins. In the specific case of PI-PLC δ1, the crystal structure led to a ‘tether and fix’ model for membrane binding (Essen et al., 1996). In that model, the PH domain of PI-PLC δ1 tethers the enzyme to the membrane by specific binding to PIP2, and the C2 domain fixes the catalytic domain in a productive orientation relative to the membrane. The PH domain of PI-PLC δ1 has been shown to bind PIP2 with high affinity (Yagisawa et al., 1994; Lemmon et al., 1995; Garcia et al., 1995). PIP2 binding to the PH domain affects the catalytic activity of PI-PLC δ1, enabling the progressive hydrolysis of membrane-bound substrates (Cifuentes et al., 1993; Lomasney et al., 1996).

Kinetic constants (Table 1) for PI-PLC δ1 hydrolysis of water-soluble cIP in the absence and presence of an interface show that the PH domain truncated enzyme cannot be activated by the presence of a PC interface. Clearly, it is the PH domain that accounts for this interfacial activation for mammalian PI-PLCs. Although diC1:PC micelles provide a very effective interface for the activation of bacterial PI-PLC, they may not be optimal for the mammalian enzymes (PIP2 cannot be used because it would be a much better substrate than cIP). While the micellar diC1:PC activation is controlled by the PH domain, it cannot be due to tethering the enzyme to a substrate-rich interface, because cIP is a water-soluble substrate.

Interestingly, the full-length enzyme is about 2-fold more active than the truncated one toward PI hydrolysis in Triton X-100 mixed micelles. This suggests that the PI/Triton X-100 micelle system induces the same conformational
change of PI-PLC δ1 as the allosteric interfacial activation by PC micelles. Since the Δ(1–132) PI-PLC δ1 enzyme still has an intact C2 domain, that entity alone is not sufficient for optimal activity. The C2 domain may still bind to an appropriate phospholipid interface, but this does not cause the allosteric changes that modulate $k_{cat}$.

Phosphorylated Water-Soluble Substrates: An Alternate Way To Access a High-Activity Form of PI-PLC δ1. The water-soluble GPIP, series provide a way to assess how inositol ring phosphorylation affects the phosphotransferase activity of PI-PLC δ1. GPIP is such a poor substrate for the enzyme that it is hard to measure kinetic parameters accurately. $V_{max}/K_m$, as a measure of Δ(1–132) PI-PLC δ1 enzyme efficiency, is 0.016 for cIP; the value for GPIP must be considerably less than that. The addition of the 4′-phosphate dramatically enhanced $V_{max}$, to a value roughly twice that for cIP, and reduced $K_m$ to 1.8 mM, far below the value of 25 mM observed for cIP. Perhaps most interestingly, the presence of this phosphate on the inositol ring abolished the cooperativity observed in the cIP saturation curve. In terms of enzyme efficiency, this represents a 28-fold increase over cIP. This indicates the importance of 4′-phosphate for both substrate recognition and catalysis. The further addition of the 5′-phosphate had a pronounced effect on $V_{max}$ (6-fold increase compared to cIP); $K_m$ was increased to 2.6-fold that for GPIP, and, like GPIP, the dependence of activity on substrate concentration was hyperbolic. For GPIP2 hydrolysis by Δ(1–132) PI-PLC δ1, the enzyme efficiency is 1.09. This was the maximal value observed for soluble substrates. All these observations are consistent with the crystallographic studies of Δ(1–132) PI-PLC δ1 complex with IP$_3$ (Essen et al., 1996, 1997) which showed that the 4′-phosphoryl group had the lowest B-factor (34 Å), indicating the lowest degree of structural disorder in the active site. This was followed by the 1′-phosphoryl group (40 Å) and the 5′-phosphoryl group (49 Å). The 4′-phosphoryl group has at least three direct hydrogen bonds with the enzyme involving Lys 438, Ser 522, and Arg 549. In addition, several indirect interactions with the enzyme are mediated by three intervening water molecules. These interactions could be critical both in aligning substrate and in converting the enzyme to its activated form. In contrast to the buried 4′-phosphoryl group, the exposed 5′-phosphoryl group forms only one salt bridge with Lys 440 and two water-mediated interactions.

A Sequential Mechanism with Comparable Release of cIP and Hydrolysis to I-1-P. cIP is such a poor substrate for the enzyme that it should be the major product if E·cIP and free cIP are in equilibrium when PI is hydrolyzed by PI-PLC δ1. The observation that mammalian PI-PLC enzymes generate both cyclic and acyclic inositol phosphates simultaneously (and in a fixed ratio) adds an important constraint to a simple sequential mechanism for PI hydrolysis. Structural studies of PI-PLC δ1 led to the speculation that PI was hydrolyzed by PI-PLC δ1 in a sequential mechanism but with slow release of cIP (Essen et al., 1997). This constraint is consistent with the difference in cIP hydrolysis kinetics when cIP is the substrate versus when PI is the substrate for the enzyme. Release of cIP produced in situ by the enzyme must be slow and comparable to the attack by a water molecule to produce I-1-P.

Given the cooperative kinetics of the cyclophosphodiesterase activity, the significant increase in observed rates for PI hydrolysis, the fixed ratio of cIP/I-1-P at a given temperature, and the values of the apparent activation free energy, enthalpy, and entropy determined for PI hydrolysis based on the transition state theory (Figure 8), we can present a detailed scheme for the action of PI-PLC (Figure 9). In this model, PI-PLC δ1 exists in two major different states or conformations: a less active form (square) and a more active form (circle). In the absence of a ligand, the less active form is dominant. The presence of an effective interface or certain amount of water-miscible organic solvent can switch the enzyme to the partially active form (triangle), a metastable state between the most active and least active forms. Binding of substrates switches the distribution of enzyme toward the more active form (Figure 9A,B). Figure 9A is the scheme proposed for PI-PLC δ1 hydrolysis of water-soluble substrates such as cIP, while Figure 9B is proposed for micellar substrates such as PI dispersed in TX-100.

A difference between the water-soluble (Figure 9A) and micellar (Figure 9B) substrates is that for the micellar substrate, the enzyme is working in the processive mode [for recent reviews of processive mode kinetics, see Jain et al. (1995), Gelb et al. (1995), and Carman et al. (1995)]. When the enzyme is anchored to the interface, there is always a substrate molecule nearby ready to bind to the enzyme active site as soon as the product molecule is released. Furthermore, the binding to an interface may enhance the release
of product molecule from the enzyme active site. The enzyme bound to the interface may also remain in its activated form. However, for water-soluble monomeric substrates such as cIP, a second cIP may not be able to bind to the enzyme active site soon enough after the release of product molecule I-1-P, to maintain the enzyme in its more active form, especially when the substrate concentration is low compared to the $K_m$ (as is the case for cIP). In this case, the enzyme may relax back to its less active form (step 4). However, at high substrate concentration, a second substrate molecule may (i) have a better opportunity to bind to the more active form of the enzyme as soon as the product molecule is released, (ii) bind to an allosteric site, or (iii) enhance protein aggregation, any of which could produce observed cooperativity. The enzyme may remain in its activated form for many substrate turnovers (step 5). The observation that GPIP, substrates do not display cooperative kinetics can also be understood with this model. The extra phosphate on the 4-position of the inositol ring has strong interactions with the enzyme active site. This could stabilize the enzyme in its activated form even at low substrate concentrations.

For PI hydrolysis, initial steps (up through catalysis, step 3) to produce E·cIP are rate-determining. Release of free cIP (step 4) or hydrolysis to I-1-P (steps 5 and 6) represent alternative pathways for the intermediate E·cIP (Figure 10). As such, one expects the ratio of the two products (equivalent to the ratio of the rate constants for the two steps) to remain constant throughout the reaction progress curve at a given temperature. Altering the reaction temperature not only affects PI hydrolysis but also affects the ratio of cIP/I-1-P produced from E·cIP. The temperature dependence of the cIP/I-1-P ratio reflects the difference in $\Delta H^\circ$ for release of cIP versus hydrolysis to I-1-P (e.g., $\Delta\Delta H^\circ$). This is derived from

$$k_{cIP}/k_{I-1-P} = \exp[-(\Delta H^\circ_{cIP} - \Delta H^\circ_{I-1-P})/RT]$$

$$k_{cIP}/k_{I-1-P} = \exp[-(\Delta H^\circ_{cIP} - \Delta H^\circ_{I-1-P})/RT] \cdot \exp[(\Delta S^\circ_{cIP} - \Delta S^\circ_{I-1-P})/R]$$

$\Delta H^\circ$ is +30 kJ/mol higher for release of cIP versus hydrolysis of cIP; however, the $\Delta\Delta S^\circ$ is +0.1 kJ/(K·mol) comparing cIP release versus hydrolysis. At room temperature, these two terms are comparable but cancel each other out in estimating $\Delta\Delta G^\circ$ (thus, equal amounts of cIP and I-1-P are produced). As $T$ increases, $\Delta\Delta G^\circ$ becomes negative, favoring release of cIP compared to hydrolysis. Thus, the transition state theory analysis predicts that more cIP will be generated as the assay temperature increases.

The attack of an activated water molecule on the E·cIP complex includes moving one water molecule from the liquid state to the enzyme active site, which has an entropy cost of about 10 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ (Dunitz, 1994). The $-55$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ difference in activation entropy for the generation of I-1-P versus overall PI hydrolysis could not be due simply to the removal of one water molecule from the bulk liquid phase to the enzyme active site. More likely, this represents the cooperative behavior of water molecules in the enzyme active site. Movement of one water molecule to the enzyme active site so that it can effectively attack the E·cIP complex could require many water molecules to adjust their positions.

These constraints on the sequential mechanism provide an explanation for the differential effects of agents that reduce water activity on PI-PLC-catalyzed production of cIP and I-1-P. Added NaCl had little effect on the overall PI hydrolysis but increased the cIP/I-1-P ratio. NaCl increases the dielectric constant, thereby weakening charge—charge interactions. Such interactions have been shown to be important for cICH$_2$P, a nonhydrolyzable cIP analog (Wu et al., 1997), binding at the active site of PI-PLC $\delta_1$ (Esen et al., 1997). Apparently, NaCl increases the rate of release of cIP but does not affect the transition state for hydrolysis of the cIP-E complex. In contrast to NaCl, addition of water-miscible organic solvents had pronounced effects on both the overall hydrolysis of PI and the cIP/I-1-P ratio. The organic solvents were more effective than an interface in switching the enzyme from the less active form to the more active form for cIP hydrolysis (Table 1). Organic solvents can decrease the dielectric constant of the assay medium and in this way enhance the charge interaction of cIP and PI-PLC. Organic solvent can lower the energy for the transition state for cIP·E hydrolysis more than it affects cIP release (alternatively, it could raise the activation energy for cIP release). Such effects would bias $\Delta\Delta G^\circ$ in favor of I-1-P production.

The observation that hydrolysis of PI in TX-100 micelles produced similar amounts of cyclic and acyclic products, while the hydrolysis of PIP in TX-100 micelles generated little cyclic product, can also be rationalized. The inositol 4'-phosphate group has multiple charge interactions with the enzyme (Esen et al., 1997) and could effectively lower the energy of the transition state for attack of water on E·cIP$_2$ to form IP$_2$ versus affecting the transition state for cIP$_2$ release. This phosphate group must be critical for switching the enzyme to its more active conformation, since the watersoluble GPIP$_2$ substrates exhibit hyperbolic kinetics with a high $V_{max}$ compared to the nonphosphorylated water-soluble substrates.
Summary. For PI hydrolysis, the ratio of cIP/I-1-P is controlled by the difference in free energy for the transition states leading to release of cIP versus the attack of an activated water molecule on the E·cIP complex to produce I-1-P. The substrate saturation curve is sigmoidal for water-soluble substrates, such as cIP, with low affinity for the enzyme. However, the addition of a phosphate at the 4-position of glycerophosphoinositol dramatically enhances the affinity for the enzyme active site. The binding of the phosphorylated substrates also eliminates cooperative catalysis, possibly by shifting the equilibrium of the enzyme to a more active form.
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